

9. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and let R be the binary relation on A given by the following ordered pairs.

$$R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$$

Give a brief reason for each of your answers to the following questions.

(7 marks)

- (a) Is R reflexive?

Yes. $(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4)$ are all in R .

- (b) Is R symmetric?

No. $(1, 2) \in R$ but $(2, 1) \notin R$.

- (c) Is R anti-symmetric?

Yes. For all distinct $a, b \in A$,
if $(a, b) \in R$ then $(b, a) \notin R$.

- (d) Is R transitive?

Yes. For all $a, b, c \in A$,
if $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$ then $(a, c) \in R$.

- (e) Is R an equivalence relation?

No. R is not symmetric.

- (f) Is R a partial order?

Yes. R is reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive.

- (g) Is R a total order?

Yes. For all $a, b \in A$,
either $(a, b) \in R$ or $(b, a) \in R$.

7

10. Let S be the binary relation on the set of integers defined by

$$m S n \text{ if, and only if, } m - n \text{ is odd.}$$

(5 marks)

- (a) Is S reflexive? Either prove that it is, or give a counterexample to show that it is not.

No. 2 is an integer but 2 is not related to itself since $2 - 2 = 0$ which is not odd.

- (b) Is S symmetric? Either prove that it is, or give a counterexample to show that it is not.

Yes. $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $m S n$ then $n S m$.

Suppose that m, n are integers and $m S n$.

Then $m - n$ is odd, so $m - n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k .

Hence $n - m = -(2k + 1) = 2(-k) - 1$, which is odd.

(The negative of an odd integer is odd.)

$$\therefore n S m.$$

- (c) Is S transitive? Either prove that it is, or give a counterexample to show that it is not.

No. $2, 3$ and 6 are integers.

6 is related to 3 since $6 - 3 = 3$. (odd)

3 is related to 2 since $3 - 2 = 1$. (odd)

but 6 is not related to 2 , since

$$6 - 2 = 4 \text{ which is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ odd.}$$

8