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Abstract

This note presents a method of evaluating the distribution of a path integral for Markov
chains on a countable state space.

Keywords: Hitting times; extinction times; population processes

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: Primary 60J27
Secondary 60J80

1. Introduction

Let \((X(t), t \geq 0)\) be a continuous-time Markov chain taking values in the nonnegative
integers \(S = \{0, 1, \ldots\}\) and let \(A\) be a fixed subset of \(S\). Consider the path integral

\[ \Gamma = \int_0^\tau f_X(t) \, dt, \]

where \(f : A \to [0, \infty)\) and \(\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : X(t) \notin A\}\) is the first exit time of \(A\). Here \(f_j\)
may be regarded as the reward per unit time of staying in state \(j\), so that \(\Gamma\) is the total reward
over the period that the chain spends in \(A\). We shall describe a method of obtaining the Laplace
transform of the distribution of \(\Gamma\), which is a simple extension of the corresponding result
\(f\) identically 1) on the distribution of first passage times [10]. Explicit results are available for
special Markov chains. For example, when the state space is finite, the distribution of the time
spent in \(A\) can be exhibited explicitly (see [8]). In the case of birth–death processes, Flajolet
and Guillermin [4] and Ball and Stefanov [2] obtained results on transforms of the distributions
of first passage times, and other fundamental characteristics, in terms of continued fractions.

2. Distribution of the path integral

Let \(Q = (q_{ij}, i, j \in S)\) be the \(q\)-matrix of transition rates of the chain (assumed to be stable
and conservative), so that, for \(j \neq i\), \(q_{ij}\) represents the rate of transition from state \(i\) to state \(j\),
and \(q_{ii} = -q_i\), where \(q_i := \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij} (< \infty)\) represents the total rate out of state \(i\). It will not
be necessary to assume that \(Q\) is regular, so that there may actually be many processes with the
given set of rates. However, we will take \((X(t), t \geq 0)\) to be the minimal chain associated
with \(Q\).

We shall evaluate the Laplace transform of the distribution of path integral (1), conditional
on the chain starting in state \(i \in A\), making the harmless assumption that \(q_j > 0\) for all \(j \in A\), so that \(A\) contains no absorbing states. With the notation \(E_i(\cdot) = E(\cdot | X(0) = i)\),
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let $y_i(\theta) = E_i(e^{-\theta \Gamma})$, with the understanding that $y_i(\theta) = 1$ when $i \notin A$. The following result is a simple extension of the standard characterization of hitting times (see, for example, Theorem 9 of [10, p. 86]).

**Proposition 1.** For each $\theta > 0$, $y(\theta) = (y_i(\theta), i \in S)$ is the maximal solution to the system

$$
\sum_{j \in S} q_{ij} z_j = \theta f_i z_i, \quad i \in A,
$$

with $0 \leq z_j \leq 1$ for $j \in A$ and $z_j = 1$ for $j \notin A$, in the sense that $y(\theta)$ satisfies these equations, and, if $z = (z_i, i \in S)$ is any such solution, then $y_i(\theta) \geq z_i$ for all $i \in S$.

**Proof.** Fix $i \in A$ and condition on the time of the first jump and the state visited at that time, to get

$$
E_i(e^{-\theta \Gamma}) = \int_0^\infty \sum_{k \neq i} e^{-\theta f_i u} E_k(e^{-\theta \Gamma}) \frac{q_{ik}}{q_i} q_i e^{-\theta u} du.
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} y_k(\theta) = (\theta f_i + q_i) y_i(\theta),
$$

and we deduce immediately that $y(\theta)$ satisfies (2).

To show that $y(\theta)$ is the maximal solution to (2), let $T_j(n), n \geq 1$, be the total time that the process spends in state $j$ during the period up to the time of the $n$th jump, and let $y_i(n, \theta) = E_i(e^{-\theta \Gamma(n)})$, where $\Gamma(n) = \sum_{j \in S} f_j T_j(n)$, with the understanding that $y_i(n, \theta) = 1$ for $i \notin A$ (so $\Gamma(n)$ is the contribution to the path integral over the period). Clearly, $\Gamma(n) \uparrow \Gamma$, so that monotone convergence gives $y_i(n, \theta) \downarrow y_i(\theta)$. Again fix $i \in A$. Using a similar conditioning argument, we find that, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$
E_i(e^{-\theta \Gamma(n+1)}) = \int_0^\infty \sum_{k \neq i} e^{-\theta f_i u} E_k(e^{-\theta \Gamma(n)}) \frac{q_{ik}}{q_i} q_i e^{-\theta u} du,
$$

and we deduce that

$$
\sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} y_k(n, \theta) = (\theta f_i + q_i) y_i(n+1, \theta), \quad n \geq 1.
$$

But, we also have $y_i(1, \theta) = q_i/(\theta f_i + q_i)$, and so (4) is valid for $n = 0$ provided that we put $y_k(0, \theta) = 1$ for all $k \in S$. Now suppose that $z = (z_j, j \in S)$ is any solution to (2) with $0 \leq z_j \leq 1$ for $j \in A$ and $z_j = 1$ for $j \notin A$, so that, in particular,

$$
\sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} z_k = \theta f_i + q_i, \quad i \in A.
$$

For $i \notin A$, we have $y_i(\theta) = z_i = 1$. On comparing (4) and (5), we see that, if $y_i(n, \theta) \geq z_i$ for $i \in A$ and any fixed $n \geq 0$, we will then also have $y_i(n+1, \theta) \geq z_i$ for $i \in A$. But, $z_i \leq 1 = y_i(0, \theta)$, and so, by mathematical induction, $y_i(n, \theta) \geq z_i$ for $i \in A$ and every $n \geq 0$. Letting $n \to \infty$ shows that $y_i(\theta) \geq z_i$ for $i \in A$, and this completes the proof.

Formal differentiation of (3) suggests a corresponding result on the expected value of the path integral, conditional on the chain starting in state $i \in A$. In fact, using similar arguments, we can arrive at the following result, which is a simple extension of Theorem 10 of [10, p. 86].
Proposition 2. The minimal nonnegative solution to the system

\[ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} q_{ij} z_j + f_i = 0, \quad i \in \mathcal{A}, \]  

is \( e = (e_i, \ i \in \mathcal{A}) \), where \( e_i = E_i(\Gamma) \).

Remark 1. If we set \( f_i = 1 \) for all \( i \in \mathcal{A} \), then \( \Gamma = \tau \), and so the above results can be used to determine the distribution and the expectation of \( \tau \). In the case when \( Q \) is regular, these reduce to well-known and widely used results on hitting times; see Section 9.2 of [1].

Of particular interest are the cases (i) \( A = S \) with \( S \) irreducible, and (ii) \( S = A \cup \{0\} \), with \( A \) irreducible and 0 being an absorbing state that is accessible from \( A \). In both cases, \( \Gamma \) counts the reward over the lifetime of the chain. Note that, if \( Q \) is not regular, then, in case (i), \( \tau \) is the explosion time of the chain (which is almost surely finite for all starting states). The above results might therefore be useful in biological applications, where we may wish to account for explosive behaviour by allowing the chain to perform infinitely-many transitions in a finite time. Case (ii) was considered by Stefanov and Wang [9] for birth–death processes. They derived an explicit expression for the expectation \( E_i(\Gamma) \), building on earlier work of Hernández-Suárez and Castillo-Chavez [5], who studied the case \( i = 1 \) and \( f_j = j \).

On dividing (2) by \( f_i \), we see that, conditional on \( X(0) = i \), \( \Gamma \) has the same distribution as \( \tau \) for the Markov chain with transition rates \( Q^* = (q^*_{ij}, \ i, j \in S) \) given by \( q^*_{ij} = q_{ij}/f_i \) for all \( i \in A \) such that \( f_i > 0 \), and \( q^*_{ij} = q_{ij} \) otherwise. This was observed for birth–death processes by McNeil [6]. It is intuitively reasonable. If \( T_j \) is the total time that the process spends in state \( j \) during the period up to time \( \tau \), and \( N_j \) is the number of visits to \( j \) during that period, then

\[ \Gamma = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} f_j T_j \quad \text{and} \quad T_j = \sum_{n=1}^{N_j} X_{jn}, \]

where \( \{X_{jn}, n = 1, 2, \ldots\} \) are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) exponential random variables with parameter \( q_j \). Since the distribution of \( N_j \) does not depend on the holding times, but rather on the transition probabilities \( p_{ij} = q_{ij}/q_i \) of the jump chain, then, for states \( j \) with \( f_j > 0 \), \( f_j T_j \) has the same distribution as the sum of \( N_j \) i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter \( q_j/f_j \). Therefore, since (in an obvious notation) \( p^*_{ij} = p_{ij} \), and \( q^*_{ij} = q_i/f_i \) for all \( i \in A \) such that \( f_i > 0 \), we would expect \( \Gamma \) to have the same distribution as \( \tau \) for the modified chain. This observation will be useful in studying specific models for which the distribution and the expectation of \( \tau \) are known in sufficient generality to accommodate state-dependent transition rates. For example, in the case of birth–death processes, there are explicit expressions for the expected value of various hitting times, and, expressions for transforms of their distributions are available in terms of continued fractions (see [4], [2]), while in several special cases the hitting time densities are known explicitly (see, for example, [3]). For several illustrations of the method, we refer the reader to [7].
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