

A Problem

Yoav Kerner, Yoni Nazarathy, June 2009

Assume:

- \mathcal{Q} is a regenerative process with epochs $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots\}$.
- $\{D(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{C(t), t \geq 0\}$ are non-decreasing processes with RCLL sample paths. Defined on the same probability space as \mathcal{Q} .
- $C(t)$ is constant over $t \in [\tau_n, \tau_{n+1})$.
- $C(\tau(t)) = D(\tau(t))$ w.p. 1, where $\tau(t) = \sup\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots | \tau_n \leq t\}$.
- $\{D(\tau_{n+1}) - D(\tau_n), n \geq 1\}$ i.i.d.
- $\mathbb{E} [(D(\tau_2) - D(\tau_1))^2], \mathbb{E} [(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^2] < \infty$.
- There exist constants $\bar{V}_C, \bar{B}_C, \bar{V}_D, \bar{B}_D$:

$$\text{Var}(D(t)) = \bar{V}_D t + \bar{B}_D + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(C(t)) = \bar{V}_C t + \bar{B}_C + o(1).$$

Then,

(i) $\bar{V}_D = \bar{V}_C$.

(ii) $\bar{B}_D = \bar{B}_C + \bar{\Delta}$.

Where $\bar{\Delta}$ is an expression of the moments of $\tau_2 - \tau_1$ and $D(\tau_2) - D(\tau_1)$.

The proof of (i) is simple. Don't know about (ii) - possibly it can not be done (to find such a $\bar{\Delta}$). Proof? Counter-example?